

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

TRANSCRIPT OF DECISION ON DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER
(I) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO (A) HONOR WITHDRAWALS FROM
WALLET ACCOUNTS, (B) UPDATE THE USER INTERFACE TO PROPERLY
REFLECT TRANSACTIONS AND ASSETS AS OF THE PLATFORM PAUSE, AND
(C) CONDUCT ORDINARY COURSE RECONCILIATION OF ACCOUNTS, AND
(II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF [DOCKET NO. 121]

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL B. KAPLAN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

No appearances taken

Audio Operator: Kiva Martin

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.
268 Evergreen Avenue
Hamilton, New Jersey 08619
E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

1 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, everyone. This is
2 Judge Kaplan. And I will be reading my ruling with respect to
3 the Blockfi LLC matter. Given that I am currently at the Third
4 Circuit conference, I'm not in a position to have a hearing,
5 therefore, there will be no opportunity for questions or
6 further discussion. I am happy to arrange for a subsequent
7 telephone conference as needed if there are any issues that
8 need clarification. I see there are still participants joining
9 remotely but I'm going to start my ruling. It'll take a few
10 minutes, not too long, but it will take some time.

11 Before the Court is the debtors' motion for entry of
12 an order authorizing the debtors to honor withdrawals from
13 Wallet accounts, update the user interface to properly reflect
14 transactions and assets as of the platform pause, conduct
15 ordinary course reconciliation of accounts and other related
16 relief. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant
17 to 11 U.S.C. Section 1334. This is a core matter under 28
18 U.S.C. Sections 157(b)(2)(A), (B), (M) and (O). Venue is
19 proper under 28 U.S.C. Sections 1408 and 1409. Pursuant to
20 Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7052, the Court makes the
21 following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

22 To the extent the parties have argued that this
23 matter should proceed through an adversary proceeding in lieu
24 of a contested matter, the Court exercises its discretion to
25 relax the requirements of the Federal Rule of Bankruptcy

1 Procedure 7001 as permitted by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy
2 Procedure 1001 and in light of the opportunity all parties had
3 to engage in discovery, briefing and oral argument. This is
4 consistent with the approach taken by other Courts in this
5 district and I will refer the parties as example to In re Gain
6 Electronics, 117 B.R. 805.

7 The Court incorporates into this ruling by reference
8 the stipulated facts filed by the parties at Docket 842 and
9 employs the same terms and definitions as defined in the
10 stipulated facts. The Court heard oral argument on May 8th,
11 2023 and has reviewed the written submissions by all parties as
12 identified in the notice of amended agenda filed by the
13 debtors' counsel at Docket Number 845. The Court notes that in
14 addition to all submissions by counsel on behalf of all
15 parties-in-interest, the Court has reviewed every pro se
16 submission forwarded to this Court by e-mail and regular mail.

17 At the outset the Court notes that during oral
18 argument the phrase picking winners and losers was used by
19 counsel and the Court. That phrase is not wholly applicable
20 inasmuch as in this matter there are no true winners among
21 creditors, customers or equity holders. No one has gone
22 unscathed either in delays, loss of funds, loss of access to
23 funds or other contractual rights to which they are entitled.

24 There clearly has been a loss of bargained-for
25 interest and rights. The task for the Court today is not to

1 select winners and losers but to determine how best to preserve
2 all stakeholders' contracted rights with the least prejudice or
3 harm possible. That task begins and ends with the language of
4 the various applicable terms of agreement to which the debtors
5 and its customers agreed in advance of entering into a business
6 relationship.

7 The Court finds that all digital assets held by the
8 debtors in custodial omnibus Wallets are indeed client property
9 and not property of the bankruptcy estates subject, of course,
10 to possible avoidance and clawback rights. The BlockFi terms
11 of service including the notice provisions found in the general
12 terms of service as well as the specific terms of service
13 associated with BlockFi Wallet, BlockFi Interest Account,
14 BlockFi private client, BlockFi ACH and BlockFi Debit are
15 binding on customers with respect to all of the aspects of the
16 BlockFi platform.

17 These terms of service form the Court's analysis and
18 determination that the debtors in their sole discretion had the
19 authority to discontinue, pause and/or refuse all current and
20 future access for customers with respect to all account
21 activity, meaning withdrawals, transfers or trading without
22 advance notice. Currently, advance notice is not required
23 under the terms of agreement in order to effectuate a pause on
24 the platform. With limited exceptions detailed in the amended
25 Cheela declaration the debtors paused all activity on this

1 platform for all accounts as of November 10th, 2022 at 8:15
2 p.m.

3 Customer activity on the debtors' platform through
4 use of the customer user interface along with back operation
5 undertaken on the company interface by debtors' employees are
6 distinct and separate from the manual true-up and batching
7 processes necessary to effectuate and complete client
8 withdrawals, trades or transfer requests initiated on the user
9 interface. Quite simply, a customer's withdrawal or transfer
10 request on the customer user face did not and does not
11 automatically transfer digital assets to or from the debtors'
12 rehypothicatable Wallets or custodial omnibus Wallets or create
13 legally, protectable interests in assets held in such Wallets.

14 The Court acknowledges that after the platform pause
15 customers who were able to use the app and the user interface
16 continued to show screens saying their transaction was complete
17 and that they had received also confirming e-mails. The Court
18 understands that the continued functionality of the platform
19 directly conflicts with the platform pause and recognizes that
20 the contradiction was confusing, misleading and frustrating.
21 Nevertheless, it does not change this Court's ruling or the
22 underlying concept that the manual true-up and batching
23 processes were required to actually effectuate and complete the
24 transfer or withdrawal requests.

25 The fact that the user interface did not accurately

1 reflect the situation is unfortunate, however, debtors
2 adequately explained the delay and the unexpected difficulty
3 that was encountered in disabling the functionality of the
4 platform. Debtors also took action to inform its user clients
5 of the effect and meaning of the platform pause by posting
6 in/out messages. As a result of the platform pause, debtors
7 did not undertake manually out of the true-up or batching
8 processes necessary to effectuate and complete transfer or
9 withdrawal requests made on the company's user interface after
10 the pause. As the Committee has noted, these back end steps
11 are indeed the lynchpin for completing such transactions.

12 Post-platform pause the debtors have engaged in a
13 requisite true-up or batching processes with respect only to
14 transfers, trades or withdrawal requests initiated on the user
15 interface prior to the platform pause. Put simply, no transfer
16 request by customers between the BIA and the custodial Wallet
17 accounts initiated after 8:15 p.m. on November 10th, 2022 were
18 effectuated and completed.

19 As a matter of both New Jersey and Bermuda contract
20 law as cited in debtors' reply submissions, the plain, ordinary
21 and unambiguous language of the reference terms of service
22 agreements as applied to the facts herein require that this
23 Court overrule all of the objections raised to the debtors'
24 motion. To do otherwise would authorize an invasion of the
25 very contract rights of custodial Wallet holders with respect

1 to non-estate property and prefer certain general unsecured
2 creditors.

3 BIA account holders deposited their assets into these
4 accounts with the full knowledge that they were undertaking
5 certain risk in exchange for the chance at greater returns.
6 The risk included a potential platform pause at any moment's
7 time. The custodial Wallet holders, even those that undertook
8 to transfer funds shortly before the platform pause did not
9 share this risk or return and should not have their ownership
10 of non-estate property diluted by those who took on such risk.

11 The Court bottoms its ruling as well on the following
12 specific provisions of the reference, debtors' general terms of
13 agreement.

14 First paragraph. Pursuant to the BlockFi general
15 terms of service clients must, among other things, acknowledge
16 and agree that BlockFi in its sole discretion may suspend or
17 discontinue your and refuse any and all current and future
18 access to or use of your BlockFi accounts at any time without
19 notice to you.

20 Second. Clients agree that BlockFi and our third
21 party partners may experience cyber attacks, extreme market
22 conditions or other operational or technical difficulties which
23 could result in the immediate halt of transfers and withdrawals
24 of crypto currency either temporarily or permanently.

25 And the third general term of service of import. The

1 BlockFi general terms of service further provide that BlockFi
2 reserves the right to refuse service, terminate relationships
3 and cancel orders or transactions in its discretion.

4 This last provision is particularly important
5 inasmuch as to the extent any customer may contend that a
6 particular transaction escaped the intended restraint under the
7 platform pause. The terms of the agreement permit the debtors
8 to employ at their discretion to simply cancel the transaction.
9 An improper cancellation may give rise to a claim for breach of
10 contract but it neither creates nor resurrects any property
11 interests in crypto currency held in a particular account.

12 The debtors' motion is granted and all objections are
13 overruled. The debtor may submit a form of order. Thank you.
14 We are adjourned.

15

* * * *

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MARY POLITO, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter and to the best of my ability.

/s/ Mary Polito

MARY POLITO

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

DATE: May 12, 2023